

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,214	09/12/2003	Danny L. Hopkins	170.304	7183
28785 75	590 11/20/2006		EXAMINER	
JOHN R LEY, LLC			DUONG, THANH P	
5299 DTC BLVD, SUITE 610 GREENWOOD VILLAGE, CO 80111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Cummons		10/661,214	HOPKINS, DANNY L.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Tom P. Duong	1764
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHI - Exte afte - If No - Faile Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□		action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, proceedings and the second	
Disposit	tion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-40 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 23-38 and 40 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,8-12,19-22 and 39 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) 13-18 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examined The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath oath of the oath of the oath of the oath of the oath oath oath oat	vn from consideration.  ted.  r election requirement.  epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Secon is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
2)  Notic 3)  Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:	Date

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's remarks and amendments filed on September 18, 2006 have been carefully considered. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-10, 12, 13, 17, 20, and 22 have been amended. New claims 23-40 have been added. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, and 8-40 are pending in this application.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-12, 19-22, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohle (3,802,158) in view of Diachuk (4,350,504). Regarding claims 1 3, 7, and 22, Ohle discloses a system for drawn air including gaseous contaminants, comprising: a collector device (26) in a confined environment to receive exhaust flow (28); a cleaner device (12, 14, 20, 22) comprising: a scrubber module (12), a liquid removal module (14), and a filtering device (22) comprises either activated charcoal or potassium permanganate (Col. 4, lines 40-46); a delivery device (84) receives flow from cleaner device. Ohle discloses odor-removing filter (activated carbon) or a catalyst (potassium permanganate) but is silent with respect a filtering and conversion module comprising both an odor-removing filter and a catalyst. Diachuk

Application/Control Number: 10/661,214

Art Unit: 1764

'504 teaches a filtering device (108) comprising filter unit 109 comprising oxidizer medium 113 (potassium permanganate) downstream of the charcoal bed 112 (odorremoving filter) to neutralize the odor and the contaminants (Col. 5, lines 15-30). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Diachuk '540 to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Ohle with a filtering and conversion module as taught by Diachuk '540 in order to facilitate in neutralizing the odor and contaminants. With respect to circulating the treated gas back to the confined environment, Diachuk '540 discloses the treated gas can be discharged back into the environment surrounding the cooking equipment (Col. 6, lines 20-24). With respect to the catalyst operating at room temperature to facilitate conversion of the carbon monoxide gaseous contaminants, both Ohle '158 and Diachuk '540 discloses the pollution control apparatus are utilized in a restaurant environment or installed with cooking environment and such environment are usually operating at room temperature. Moreover, the recitation with respect to operating the catalyst at room temperature is directed to the manner of operating the device and the manner of operating a device does not differentiate apparatus claim from the prior art if the prior art apparatus teaches all structural limitations of the claim. See Ex parte Masham. MPEP 2114. With respect to the catalyst capable of converting carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide, it submitted that Ohle discloses the catalyst of the claimed invention; therefore, one of ordinary skilled in the art would have expected the catalyst of Ohle is capable of converting carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide. Regarding claim 2, Ohle discloses the confined environment of the claimed invention (Col. 1, lines 10-19 and Col. 2 lines 36-42). Regarding claims 4-5 and 8, Ohle discloses structural

Art Unit: 1764

features of the claimed invention; therefore, the apparatus of Ohle is capable of converting carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide. Regarding claim 6, the applied references are silent with respect to the use of manganese copper oxide; however, it would have been an obvious design choice to one having ordinary skill in the art to substitute a known manganese copper oxide in lieu potassium permanganate to facilitate in oxidizing and purifying the exhaust gas, since both manganese copper oxide and potassium permanganate are oxidizing medium. Regarding claims 9-11 and 39, it is conventional to provide a heater such as a electrical heater embedded in the catalyst layer and it would have been obvious to do so here to activate oxidizing medium to facilitate the oxidizing process. Regarding claim 12, Ohle fails to disclose a filter comprising one of a HEPA, DOP, or Bag filter. Diachuk teaches it is desirable to provide a high efficiency filter, such as a HEPA filter, to enhance the efficiency of treating the exhaust gas (Col. 5, lines 54-68 - Col. 6, lines 1-8). Regarding claims 19-21, Ohle discloses (best understood by examiner) the liquid removing device (14) including a baffles (64) which has curved sidewall capable intermixing the gas the liquid and remove the mist from the gas flow (Fig. 3).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 23-38 and 40 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,214

Art Unit: 1764

Claims 13-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 18, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued neither Diachuk nor Ohle describes or suggests a room temperature catalyst which facilitates conversion of carbon monoxide gas to carbon dioxide, Examiner respectfully disagrees. It submitted that Ohle discloses the catalyst of the claimed invention; therefore, one of ordinary skilled in the art would have expected the catalyst of Ohle is capable of converting carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide. With respect to the catalyst operating at room temperature to facilitate conversion of the carbon monoxide gaseous contaminants, both Ohle '158 and Diachuk '540 discloses the pollution control apparatus are utilized in a restaurant environment or installed with cooking environment and such environment are usually operating at room temperature. Moreover, the recitation with respect to operating the catalyst at room temperature is directed to the manner of operating the device and the manner of operating a device does not differentiate apparatus claim from the prior art if the prior art apparatus teaches all structural limitations of the claim. See Ex parte Masham. MPEP 2114.

#### **Conclusion**

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom P. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2794. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,214

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tom Duong November 16, 2006

TD

Supervisory Patent Examine? Technology Center 1700

Page 7